

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/631,220	10/631,220 07/30/2003		Richard T. Howard	MFS-31843-1	3295		
30698	7590	09/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		L SPACE FLIGHT	KALIVODA, CF	KALIVODA, CHRISTOPHER M			
MSFC, AL 35812				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
-				2002			

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/631,220	HOWARD, RICHARD T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher M. Kalivoda	2883					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) darill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	filed 07/18/2005 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	<u> </u>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4, 6, 7-11, 13 and 15-17 is/are re 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,5,12 and 14 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. ejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of the ou	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/631,220

Art Unit: 2883

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivoshlykov, U.S. Patent 5,751,871.

Regarding independent claims 1 and 7 as claimed, Krivoshlykov teaches: an input end for receiving the beam of light (Fig 5, left end of fiber whose end

face is 51);

and an exit end (Fig 5, ref sign 51) for projecting the beam of light towards the target (col 6, lines 16-19); said exit end having a major axis (major axis of fiber end);

wherein the exit end has at least one diffractive optical pattern formed thereon (Fig 5, cone shaped diffractive element formed on fiber's end), wherein said optical pattern comprises means for providing an even illumination pattern across the target (col 6, lines 21-23), wherein said illumination pattern has a major axis (Fig 5, ref sign 55-57) greater than said major axis of said exit end.

The fiber's cross-section is implied to be circularly symmetric since typical fiber diameters (a term naturally associated with circles) are disclosed. As such, the projected pattern would also be circular. Since circular shapes are implied, the major and minor axes are the same.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,220

Art Unit: 2883

Regarding claims 3 and 11, the diffractive pattern can be formed by cutting (col 7, lines 17-20).

Regarding claims 4 and 13, the diffractive optical pattern is continuous since the shape is conical (Fig 5, ref sign 51).

Regarding claim 6, the optical fiber is coupled to a laser emitting diode at the input end (col 3, last 2 lines and col 4, lines 1-3).

Regarding claims 15 and 16, the fiber can be multimode (col 5, lines 23-24).

Regarding claim 17, the major axis of the illumination pattern has a length at least three times the length of the major axis of the exit end (Fig 5, ref sign 51 and extending lines 52-53 to screen and comparing dimensions).

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krivoshlykov, U.S. Patent 5,751,871 in view of "The Video Guidance Sensor – A Flight Proven Technology" by Howard et al.

Regarding claims 8-10, Krivoshlykov teaches the limitations of the claims as described above.

However, the reference is silent with respect to a rectangular illumination pattern on the target, a plurality of laser emitting diodes and a respective plurality of fiber optics and the exit end of the fiber optics arranged in a circular fashion around a camera.

Howard et al. teach in their publication a rectangular illumination pattern (Fig 5) on the target, a plurality of laser emitting diodes and a respective plurality of fiber optics and the exit end of the fiber optics arranged in a circular fashion around a camera (page 3, lines 7-10 and Fig 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/631,220 Page 4

Art Unit: 2883

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the fiber of Krivoshlykov in the camera of Howard et al.

The motivation is to efficiently couple beams from a laser diode into an optical fiber (col 1, lines 10-12).

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 3, 5, 12 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 3 and 12, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an optical fiber with an exit end and a diffractive optical pattern formed thereon wherein the diffractive optical pattern is one of a binary or multilevel diffractive pattern in combination with the limitations of the base claim.

Regarding claims 5 and 14, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious an optical fiber with an exit end and a diffractive optical pattern formed thereon having a plurality of optical diffractive patterns incorporated thereon.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/631,220 Page 5

Art Unit: 2883

examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Kalivoda whose telephone number is (571) 272-2476. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8:30 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CMK 09/02/05

> KAVEH KIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINEB